

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/827,289 04/20/2004		04/20/2004	Tomohiro Sugimoto	2004_0587A 2658		
513	7590	01/25/2006		EXAMINER		
	•	LIND & PONACK	KITOV, ZEEV			
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				2836		
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

20

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/827,289	SUGIMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Zeev Kitov	2836		

Advisory Action	10/827,289	SUGIMOTO ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zeev Kitov	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ers on the cover sheet with the		FOSC
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	/ess
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff dice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cf	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	:		
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protent the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	will not be entered, or b) □ will will not be entered.		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•
1. A The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see below.			ce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 3. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		BRIAN-SIRCUS ERVISORY PATENT E FCHMOI OGY CENTER	XAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Response to Arguments
Applicant's Arguments have been given careful consideration. However, they are based on an amendment to independent Claims 1 and 4.
Since the amendment raised a new issue and accordingly was not entered the Arguments are moot.